

1  
2  
3  
4 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
5 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**  
6

7 CONNIE PEREZ, ET AL.,

8 Plaintiffs,

9 v.

10 FIRST FLEET, INC., ET AL.,

11 Defendants.

Case No.: 2:19-cv-00755-KJD-DJA

**Order**

12 Pending before the Court is Defendant's Motion to Compel Discovery (ECF No. 25) filed  
13 on October 24, 2019 and Defendant's Motion to Extend the Current Discovery Deadlines (ECF  
14 No. 35) filed on November 8, 2019. To date, no response has been received from Plaintiffs.

15 Plaintiffs' failure to file points and authorities in response to either motion "constitutes a  
16 consent to the granting of the motion." LR 7-2(d). Moreover, the Court finds good cause to grant  
17 the extension of the discovery for 60 days to permit Defendant to continue its efforts to obtain the  
18 requested discovery. Likewise, the Court finds that Plaintiffs' failure to respond to Defendant's  
19 outstanding written discovery requests warrants an order to compel. Plaintiffs shall provide  
20 responses to Defendant's outstanding written discovery within 30 days of the Court order, without  
21 objections.

22 However, the Court denies without prejudice Defendant's request for attorneys' fees and  
23 costs incurred in bringing the Motion to Compel (ECF No. 25). The Court finds that an award of  
24 fees may be unjust given the Court's granting of the withdrawal of counsel for Plaintiffs Connie  
25 Perez and Ramiro Lopez. Although, the Court notes that it ordered Plaintiffs Ramiro Lopez and  
26 Miguel Pedroza notify the Court by November 22, 2019 as to their intent to proceed *pro se* or with  
27  
28

1 other representation. No such notice has been received. Accordingly, they shall file such notice  
2 within 30 days of this Order. Failure to do so may result in dispositive sanctions.


3 Accordingly,

4 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that Defendant's Motion to Compel Discovery (ECF No.  
5 25) is **granted**.

6 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** Defendant's Motion to Extend the Current Discovery  
7 Deadlines (ECF No. 35) is **granted**.

8 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiffs Ramiro Lopez and Miguel Pedroza shall  
9 notify the Court as to whether they intend to proceed *pro se* or retain counsel by **December 26,**  
10 **2019**. Failure to notify the Court as to their representation status may subject them to dispositive  
11 sanctions, including a recommendation for dismissal of this action.

12  
13 Dated: November 25, 2019.

14   
15 **Daniel J. Albregts**  
16 **United States Magistrate Judge**  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28